

Existence and dynamic properties of a parabolic nonlocal MEMS equation

Kin Ming Hui

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica,
Nankang, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan, R. O. C.

e-mail:kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Aug 16, 2010

Abstract

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^2 bounded domain and $\chi > 0$ be a constant. We will prove the existence of constants $\lambda_N \geq \lambda_N^* \geq \lambda^*(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2$ for the nonlocal MEMS equation $-\Delta v = \lambda/(1-v)^2(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} 1/(1-v)dx)^2$ in Ω , $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, such that a solution exists for any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_N^*$ and no solution exists for any $\lambda > \lambda_N$ where λ^* is the pull-in voltage and w_* is the limit of the minimal solution of $-\Delta v = \lambda/(1-v)^2$ in Ω with $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ as $\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*$. Moreover $\lambda_N < \infty$ if Ω is a strictly convex smooth bounded domain. We will prove the local existence and uniqueness of the parabolic nonlocal MEMS equation $u_t = \Delta u + \lambda/(1-u)^2(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} 1/(1-u)dx)^2$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, $u(x, 0) = u_0$ in Ω . We prove the existence of a unique global solution and the asymptotic behaviour of the global solution of the parabolic nonlocal MEMS equation under various boundedness conditions on λ . We also obtain the quenching behaviour of the solution of the parabolic nonlocal MEMS equation when λ is large.

Key words: nonlocal MEMS, pull-in voltage, parabolic nonlocal MEMS, asymptotic behavior, quenching behaviour.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35B40 Secondary 35B05, 35K50, 35K20

0 Introduction

Micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) are widely used nowadays in many electronic devices including accelerometers for airbag deployment in cars, inkjet printer

heads, and the device for the protection of hard disk, etc. The challenge is to build and understand the mathematical models and the mechanism for the various MEMS devices. Recently there is a lot of study on the equations arising from MEMS by P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, Y. Guo, Z. Pan and M.J. Ward [1], [2], [3], [4], N.I. Kavallaris, T. Miyasita and T. Suzuki [5], F. Lin and Y. Yang [6], L. Ma and J.C. Wei [7], G. Flores, G.A. Mercado, J.A. Pelesko and A.A. Triolo [8], [9], [10] etc. Interested readers can read the book, “Modeling MEMS and NEMS” [11], by J.A. Pelesko and D.H. Bernstein for the mathematical modeling and various applications of MEMS devices.

In [11] J.A. Pelesko and D.H. Bernstein model the deflection between the two parallel plates of an electrostatic MEMS device by the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w = \frac{\lambda}{(1-w)^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (S_\lambda)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded C^2 domain. Interested readers can read the papers [2], [5] and [6] for various results on the above equation. In [6] F.H. Lin and Y. Yang by using variational argument derived the following nonlocal MEMS equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \frac{\lambda}{(1-v)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-v})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (S_\lambda^N)$$

of an electrostatic MEMS device with circuit series capacitance that models the deflection between a membrane and an upper plate which is parallel to the plane containing the boundary of the membrane. An interesting property of (S_λ) ([2], [6]) is that there exists $\lambda^* > 0$ called pull-in voltage in the literature of MEMS research such that (S_λ) has a solution for any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ and no solution exists for any $\lambda > \lambda^*$. Physically this corresponds to the existence of a pull-in voltage such that the membrane and the upper plate in the MEMS device collapse together [6], [11], when λ which is proportional to the square of the electric voltage between the membrane and the upper plate is greater than the pull-in voltage λ^* .

In this paper we will study the equation (S_λ^N) and show that (S_λ^N) has similar properties. Let $\chi > 0$. We will study the existence and non-existence of solutions of the corresponding nonlocal parabolic equation (cf. [11], [12]),

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1-u(y,t)})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$ is a constant. The above equation also appears in the unpublished preprint “Pull-in voltage and steady states of nonlocal electrostatic MEMS” of N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo. We will prove the local existence and uniqueness of solution of (P_λ) .

Under some boundedness conditions for λ we prove the existence of a unique global solution and the asymptotic behaviour of the global solution of (P_λ) . We prove the quenching behaviour of the solution of (P_λ) when $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω and λ is large. Physically this corresponds to the case that there is no deflection of the plates at the initial time $t = 0$ and the applied voltage is large. We also prove the quenching behaviour of the solution of (P_λ) when Ω is a ball, u_0 is radially symmetric, and λ is large.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 1 we will prove the existence of constants $\lambda_N \geq \lambda_N^* \geq \lambda^*(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2$ such that (S_λ^N) has a solution for any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_N^*$ and (S_λ^N) has no solution for any $\lambda > \lambda_N$. We also prove the boundedness of λ_N when Ω is a strictly convex smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In section 2 we will prove the local existence and uniqueness of solution of (P_λ) . We also obtain energy estimates for the solution of (P_λ) . In section 3 we prove the global existence and asymptotic behaviour of the global solution of (P_λ) under various boundedness conditions on λ . In section 4 we prove the quenching behaviour of the solution of (P_λ) when λ is large.

We will assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded C^2 domain for the rest of the paper. We start with some definitions. For any $\delta > 0$, $R > 0$, let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}$ and $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$. We say that w is a solution of (S_λ) ((S_λ^N) respectively) if $w \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, $0 \leq w < 1$ in Ω , satisfies (S_λ) ((S_λ^N) respectively) in the classical sense.

For any constants $\chi \geq 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T))$ and

$$u_0 \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ with } u_0 \leq a \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \quad (0.1)$$

for some constant $0 < a < 1$ we say that u is a solution (subsolution, supersolution respectively) of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda f}{(1-u)^2(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1-u(y,t)})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ if $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T)) \cap C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T))$, $0 \leq u < 1$, satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda f}{(1-u)^2(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1-u(y,t)})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T)$$

($\leq$, $\geq$ respectively) in the classical sense with $u(x, t) = 0$ ($\leq$, $\geq$ respectively) on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$,

$$\sup_{\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T']} u(x, t) < 1 \quad \forall 0 < T' < T,$$

and

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (0.2)$$

Let μ_1 be the first positive eigenvalue and ϕ_1 be the first positive eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ which satisfies $\int_{\Omega} \phi_1 dx = 1$. For any solution u of (P_{λ}) we define the quenching time $T_{\lambda} > 0$ as the time which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{\Omega} u(x, t) < 1 & \forall 0 < t < T_{\lambda} \\ \lim_{t \nearrow T_{\lambda}} \sup_{\Omega} u(x, t) = 1. \end{cases}$$

We say that u has a finite quenching time if $T_{\lambda} < \infty$ and we say that u quenches at time infinity if $T_{\lambda} = \infty$.

1 Properties of Steady-states

In this section we will prove the existence of constants $\lambda_N \geq \lambda_N^* > 0$ such that (S_{λ}^N) has a solution for any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_N^*$ and (S_{λ}^N) has no solution for any $\lambda > \lambda_N$. For any solution w of (S_{λ}) we let $L_{w,\lambda} = -\Delta - \frac{2\lambda}{(1-w)^3}$ be the linearized operator at w and let $\mu_{1,\lambda}(w)$ be the first eigenvalue of $L_{w,\lambda}$. We recall a result of N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo [2].

Theorem 1.1. (*Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 2.1 of [2]*) *There exists a constant $\lambda^* > 0$ such that the following holds.*

- (i) For any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ there exists a unique minimal solution $0 \leq w_{\lambda} < 1$ of (S_{λ}) such that $\mu_{1,\lambda}(w_{\lambda}) > 0$. Moreover for each $x \in \Omega$ the function $\lambda \rightarrow w_{\lambda}(x)$ is strictly increasing and differentiable on $(0, \lambda^*)$.
- (ii) $\forall \lambda > \lambda^*$ there is no solution of (S_{λ}) .
- (iii) Let

$$w_* = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow \lambda^*} w_{\lambda}. \quad (1.1)$$

Then $0 \leq w_* \leq 1$ in Ω . If $1 \leq n \leq 7$, then $\sup_{\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)} \|w_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} < 1$ and $w_* \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (S_{λ^*}) such that $\mu_{1,\lambda^*}(w_*) = 0$.

We will now let $\chi > 0$, w_{λ} be the minimal solution of (S_{λ}) given by Theorem 1.1 for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$, and w_* be given by (1.1) for the rest of the paper. Note that by [4],

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1 - w_*} < +\infty.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\lambda_* = \lambda^*(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1 - w_*})^2$. For any $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_*$ there exists a unique constant $\mu_1 \in [0, \lambda^*)$ given by*

$$\mu_1 \left(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - w_{\mu_1}(y)} \right)^2 = \lambda \quad (1.2)$$

such that w_{μ_1} is a solution of (S_λ^N) . When $1 \leq n \leq 7$, the same conclusion holds for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_*$.

Proof: Existence of solution of (S_λ^N) for $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ is obtained by F.H. Lin and Y. Yang in [6] using a fixed point argument. Here we will give a simple proof which extends their existence result to the case $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda_*$. Note that $\lambda_* > \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$. When $\lambda = 0$, the function $v \equiv 0$ is a solution of (S_λ^N) . Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$ and let

$$h(\mu) = \mu \left(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1 - w_{\mu}} \right)^2 \quad \forall 0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda^*.$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 $h(\mu)$ is a strictly monotone increasing continuous function of $\mu \in [0, \lambda^*]$ and $h(\lambda^*) > \lambda > h(0) = 0$. By the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique $\mu_1 \in (0, \lambda^*)$ satisfying (1.2). Then w_{μ_1} satisfies (S_λ^N) . When $1 \leq n \leq 7$, by Theorem 1.1 and a similar argument the same conclusion holds for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_*$. $\square$

Let $\lambda_N^* = \sup\{\lambda_0 > 0 : (S_\lambda^N) \text{ has a solution } \forall 0 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_0\}$ and

$$D_1 = \{\lambda_0 > 0 : (S_\lambda^N) \text{ has no solution for any } \lambda \geq \lambda_0\}.$$

Let $\lambda_N = \inf_{\lambda_0 \in D_1} \lambda_0$ if $D_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda_N = \infty$ if $D_1 = \emptyset$. Then by Theorem 1.2,

$$\lambda_N \geq \lambda_N^* \geq \lambda_* = \lambda^*(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1 - w_*})^2 > 0.$$

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a strictly convex smooth bounded domain such that $x \cdot \nu \geq a > 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ where ν is the unit outer normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x , then $\lambda_N^* \leq \lambda_N < \infty$. Moreover for any $n \geq 2$,*

$$\lambda_N^* \leq \lambda_N \leq \frac{(n+2)^2|\partial\Omega|}{8an} \left(\chi(2 + \chi|\Omega|) + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \right). \quad (1.3)$$

Proof: Let $\lambda > 0$. Suppose v is a solution of (S_λ^N) . We first claim that there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}. \quad (1.4)$$

We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [13] and Theorem 2(a) of [14] to prove the claim. By the results of [15], [16], [17], there exist constants $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $v(x - s\nu_1)$ is an increasing function of $s \in [0, 2\delta]$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $\nu_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $|\nu_1| = 1$ and $\nu_1 \cdot \nu(x) \geq \alpha$ where $\nu(x)$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x . Moreover there exists $a_1 > 0$ such that for any $y \in \Omega_\delta$ there

exists a fixed-sized cone $\Gamma(y) \subset \Omega_{2\delta}$ with vertex at y such that $|\Gamma(y) \setminus \Omega_\delta| \geq a_1$ and $v(z) \geq v(y)$ for any $z \in \Gamma(y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1-v(y))^2} &\leq \frac{1}{|\Gamma(y) \setminus \Omega_\delta|} \int_{\Gamma(y) \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{dz}{(1-v(z))^2} \leq \frac{1}{a_1} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{dz}{(1-v(z))^2} \quad \forall y \in \Omega_\delta \\ \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega_\delta} \frac{dy}{(1-v)^2} &\leq \frac{|\Omega|}{a_1} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

and (1.4) follows. Multiplying (S_λ^N) by ϕ_1 and integrating over Ω ,

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} v \phi_1 dx = - \int_{\Omega} \phi_1 \Delta v dx = \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-v)^2} dx}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-v})^2}. \quad (1.5)$$

Now by (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-v}\right)^2 &= 1 + 2\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-v} + \chi^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-v}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 1 + 2\chi |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \\ &\leq 2 \left(1 + \chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}\right) \\ &\leq 2 \left(1 + C_1 \chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}\right) \\ &\leq 2 + C_2 \chi^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-v)^2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $C_2 = 2C_1 |\Omega| / \min_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} \phi_1$. Since $s/(2+C_2\chi^2 s)$ is a monotone increasing function of $s \geq 0$, by (1.5) and (1.6),

$$\mu_1 \geq \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-v)^2} dx}{2 + C_2 \chi^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-v)^2} dx} \geq \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_1 dx}{2 + C_2 \chi^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_1 dx} = \frac{\lambda}{2 + C_2 \chi^2}.$$

Hence

$$\lambda_N^* \leq \lambda_N \leq \mu_1 (2 + C_2 \chi^2) < \infty.$$

We will next use a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.3 of [2] to prove (1.3).

Suppose $n \geq 2$. By the Pohozaev's identity [18],

$$\begin{aligned}
n\lambda \int_{\Omega} G(v) dx - \frac{n-2}{2}\lambda \int_{\Omega} v g(v) dx &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 dx \\
&\geq \frac{a}{2|\partial\Omega|} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dx \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{a}{2|\partial\Omega|} \left(- \int_{\partial\Omega} \Delta v dx \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{a\lambda^2}{2b_v^2|\partial\Omega|} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^2 \quad (1.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_v = (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} 1/(1-v) dx)^2$, $g(v) = 1/b_v(1-v)^2$ and

$$G(v) = \frac{v}{b_v(1-v)}.$$

Then by (1.7) and an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3 of [2],

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a\lambda^2}{|\partial\Omega|} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^2 &\leq \lambda b_v \int_{\Omega} \frac{v(n+2-2nv)}{(1-v)^2} dx \\
&\leq \lambda \frac{(n+2)^2}{8n} \left(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-v} \right)^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a\lambda}{|\partial\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} &\leq \frac{(n+2)^2}{8n} \left(1 + \chi|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{(n+2)^2}{8n} \left(1 + 2\chi|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi^2|\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_N^* \leq \lambda_N &\leq \frac{(n+2)^2|\partial\Omega|}{8an} \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^{-1} + 2\chi|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-v)^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \chi^2|\Omega| \right) \\
&\leq \frac{(n+2)^2|\partial\Omega|}{8an} \left(\chi(2 + \chi|\Omega|) + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and (1.3) follows. $\square$

2 Properties of the nonlocal parabolic MEMS

In this section we will prove the local existence and uniqueness of the nonlocal parabolic MEMS (P_λ) . We also obtain the energy estimates for the solutions of (P_λ) .

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $-b_1 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies (0.1) for some constants $b_1 \geq 0$ and $0 < a < 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$, $\chi > 0$, and let u_1, u_2 , be solutions of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T)$. Then $u_1 \equiv u_2$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.*

Proof: We will use a modification of the technique of Dahlberg and Kenig [19] and K.M. Hui [20] to prove the lemma. By reducing T slightly we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\sup_{\Omega \times (0, T)} u_i \leq b_2 < 1 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \quad (2.1)$$

for some constant $0 < b_2 < 1$. Note that since u_i is a supersolution of the heat equation in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ for $i = 1, 2$, by the maximum principle,

$$u_i \geq -b_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \quad \forall i = 1, 2. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $h \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ be such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$. For any $t_1 \in (0, T)$, let η be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t + \Delta \eta + H\eta = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t_1) \\ \eta = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, t_1) \\ \eta(x, t_1) = h(x) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} \lambda \left(\frac{(1 - u_1)^{-2} - (1 - u_2)^{-2}}{u_1 - u_2} \right) \frac{1}{(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)})^2} & \text{if } u_1(x, t) \neq u_2(x, t) \\ \frac{2\lambda}{(1 - u_1)^3} \frac{1}{(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)})^2} & \text{if } u_1(x, t) = u_2(x, t). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

By the maximum principle $\eta \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)(x, t_1) h(x) dx \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [(u_1 - u_2)\eta] dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} [(u_1 - u_2)_t \eta + (u_1 - u_2)\eta_t] dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} \left[\eta \Delta(u_1 - u_2) + (u_1 - u_2)\eta_t \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \lambda \eta \left(\frac{1}{(1 - u_1)^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)})^2} - \frac{1}{(1 - u_2)^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_2(y, t)})^2} \right) \right] dx dt \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2) [\eta_t + \Delta \eta + H \eta] dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda \eta}{(1 - u_2)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)})^2} - \frac{1}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_2(y, t)})^2} \right) dx dt \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)(x, t_1) h(x) dx \\
&= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda \chi \eta}{(1 - u_2)^2} \cdot \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_2 - u_1}{(1 - u_2)(1 - u_1)} dy \left(2 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)} + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_2(y, t)} \right)}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_1(y, t)})^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_2(y, t)})^2} dx dt \\
&\leq C_1 \|\eta\|_{\infty} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} (u_2 - u_1)_+(y, t) dy dt \quad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ depending on b_1 , b_2 , λ and χ . By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4) there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\sup_{\Omega \times (0, T)} |H(x, t)| \leq C_2$. Then

$$\eta_t + \Delta \eta + C_2 \eta \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t_1) \quad (2.7)$$

$$(e^{C_2 t} \eta)_t + \Delta(e^{C_2 t} \eta) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, t_1). \quad (2.8)$$

Hence by the maximum principle,

$$\eta(x, t) \leq e^{C_2 t} \eta(x, t) \leq \max_{\Omega} (e^{C_2 t_1} \eta(x, t_1)) = e^{C_2 t_1} \|h\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq e^{C_2 T} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, 0 < t < t_1. \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.6) and (2.9),

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)(x, t_1) h(x) dx \leq C_1 e^{C_2 T} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} (u_2 - u_1)_+(y, t) dy dt \quad (2.10)$$

We now choose a sequence of smooth function $\{h_i\}$, $0 \leq h_i \leq 1$, such that $h_i(x)$ converges a.e. to the characteristic function of the set $\{x : u_1(x, t_1) > u_2(x, t_1)\}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)_+(x, t_1) dx \leq C_1 e^{C_2 T} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} (u_2 - u_1)_+(y, t) dy dt \quad \forall 0 < t_1 < T. \quad (2.11)$$

Interchanging the role of u_1 and u_2 ,

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_2 - u_1)_+(x, t_1) dx \leq C_1 e^{C_2 T} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)_+(y, t) dy dt \quad \forall 0 < t_1 < T. \quad (2.12)$$

By (2.11) and (2.12),

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|(x, t_1) dx \leq C_1 e^{C_2 T} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|(y, t) dy dt \quad \forall 0 < t_1 < T. \quad (2.13)$$

Let

$$y(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |u_1 - u_2|(z, s) dz ds.$$

Then by (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &\leq C_1 e^{C_2 T} y(t) \quad \forall 0 < t < T \\ \Rightarrow y(t) &\leq e^{C_1 e^{C_2 T} T} y(0) = 0 \quad \forall 0 < t < T \\ \Rightarrow y(t) &\equiv 0 \quad \forall 0 < t < T \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let $-b \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies (0.1) for some constants $b \geq 0$ and $0 < a < 1$. Then for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\chi > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that (P_{λ}) has a solution $u \geq -b$ in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ which satisfies*

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1 - u(y, s))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u(z, s)})^2} dy ds \quad (2.14)$$

for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ where $G(x, y, t)$ is the Dirichlet Green function for the heat equation in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

Proof: We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [20] to prove the theorem.

Case 1: $-b \leq u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (0.1).

Let

$$T = \frac{(1-a)^3}{16\lambda}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$u_1(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-u_0(y))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u_0(z)})^2} dy ds \quad (2.16)$$

and

$$u_{k+1}(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-u_k(y, s))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u_k(z, s)})^2} dy ds \quad (2.17)$$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $0 < t < T$, $k \geq 1$. Let

$$\tilde{u}_1(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-u_0(y))^2} dy ds \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\tilde{u}_{k+1}(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-\tilde{u}_k(y, s))^2} dy ds \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $0 < t < T$, $k \geq 1$. Then

$$u_1 \leq \tilde{u}_1 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Suppose

$$u_k \leq \tilde{u}_k \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (2.20)$$

holds for some $k \geq 1$. Then by (2.17), (2.19) and (2.20),

$$u_{k+1} \leq \tilde{u}_{k+1} \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2.21)$$

Hence by induction (2.20) holds for all $k \geq 1$. Since by the proof of Theorem 2.5 of [20],

$$\tilde{u}_k \leq \frac{1+a}{2} \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T, k \geq 1,$$

by (2.20),

$$u_k \leq \frac{1+a}{2} \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T, k \geq 1. \quad (2.22)$$

Let

$$q(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_0(y) dy \quad (2.23)$$

Then q is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q = \Delta q & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ q = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ q(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.24)$$

By (2.16), (2.17) and (2.23),

$$u_k(x, t) \geq q(x, t) \geq -b \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (2.25)$$

Since

$$q(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.26)$$

by (2.16), (2.17), (2.22) and (2.25),

$$u_k(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.27)$$

By (2.16), u_1 is continuously differentiable in x and t . Then by (2.16), (2.17), (2.22), (2.25) and standard parabolic theory [21], [22], $u_k \in C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T])$ for all $k \geq 2$. Then by (2.16), (2.17) and (2.22), $\forall k \geq 2$, u_k satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t} = \Delta u_k + \frac{\lambda}{(1 - u_{k-1})^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u_{k-1}(y, t)})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_k(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_k(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.28)$$

By (2.22), (2.25), (2.28) and the parabolic Schauder estimates [21], the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ are uniformly Holder continuous on $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$. Then by (2.22), (2.25), (2.28) and the Schauder estimates ([21],[22]) $\{u_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ are uniformly bounded in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subset \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T]$. By the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem and a diagonalization argument $\{u_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself which converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ to some function u for any compact subset $K \subset \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then by (2.16), (2.17), (2.22) and (2.25) u satisfies (2.14),

$$-b \leq q(x, t) \leq u(x, t) \leq \frac{1+a}{2} \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 < t \leq T, \quad (2.29)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1 - u)^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1 - u(y, t)})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \end{cases}$$

By (2.14), (2.26) and (2.29), u satisfies (0.2). Hence u is a solution of (P_{λ}) in $\Omega \times (0, T)$.

Case 2: $-b \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfies (0.1).

We choose a sequence of function $\{u_{0,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $-b \leq u_{0,k} \leq a$ in Ω for all $k \geq 1$ and $u_{0,k}$ converges to u_0 in $L^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, by case 1 there exists a solution u_k of (P_{λ}) in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with initial value $u_{0,k}$ which satisfies (2.22), (2.27) with u_0 there being replaced by $u_{0,k}$,

$$u_k(x, t) \geq \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_{0,k}(y) dy \geq -b \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, 0 \leq t \leq T, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

and

$$u_k(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y, t) u_{0,k}(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-u_k(y, s))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u_k(z, s)})^2} dy ds \quad (2.30)$$

for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, $0 < t < T$ where T is given by (2.15). Then by an argument similar to [20], the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are uniformly bounded in $C^{2,1}(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subset \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T]$. Moreover $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself which converges uniformly in $C^{2,1}(K)$ to a solution u of (P_{λ}) which satisfies (2.14) and (2.29) with $q(x, t)$ being given by (2.23) and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\lambda > 0$, $\chi > 0$, and let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfy (0.1) for some constant $0 < a < 1$. Let u be a global solution of (P_{λ}) . Then u satisfies*

$$\int_{t_0}^T \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, T)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t_0)|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)} \quad (2.31)$$

for any $T > t_0 > 0$. If $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω , then

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, T)|^2 dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)} \quad \forall T > 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Proof: By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 u is uniquely given by (2.14) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Hence $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt &= \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} u_t \Delta u dx dt + \lambda \int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_t}{(1-u)^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u})^2} dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) dt + \lambda \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u} \right)}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u})^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t_0)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u(y, t_0)})} \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u(y, t)})} \quad \forall t \geq t_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^t \int_{\Omega} u_t^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u(y, t)})} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t_0)|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u(y, t_0)})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t_0)|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)} \quad \forall t \geq t_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

and (2.31) follows. If $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω , then by (2.14) and [21],

$$\begin{aligned}
u(x, t) &= \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, y, t-s)}{(1-u(y, s))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u(z, s)})^2} dy ds \\
\Rightarrow |\nabla u(x, t)| &\leq \lambda \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla_x G(x, y, t-s)|}{(1-u(y, s))^2 (1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dz}{1-u(z, s)})^2} dy ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G(x, y, t-s)| dy ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x-y|}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} e^{-c \frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s}} dy ds \\
&\leq Ct \quad \forall x \in \Omega, 0 < t \leq 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

where $C > 0$ is a generic constant that changes from line to line. Letting $t_0 \rightarrow 0$ in (2.31) by (2.33) we get (2.32) and the theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\lambda > 0$ and $\chi > 0$. Let $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfy (0.1) for some constant $0 < a < 1$. Suppose u is a global solution of (P_{λ}) which satisfies $0 \leq u \leq b$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ for some constant $0 < b < 1$. Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that $t_i > t_0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for some constant $t_0 > 0$. Then the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence $\{t'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u(x, t'_i)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to a solution v of (S_{λ}^N) as $i \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t'_i) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.34}$$

and v satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x, t_0)|^2 dx + \frac{2\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)}. \tag{2.35}$$

If $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω , then the same conclusion holds with $t_0 = 0$.

Proof: Since $0 \leq u \leq b$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, by the parabolic Schauder estimates [21] $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [1, \infty))$. Then by the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem there exists a subsequence $\{t'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u(x, t'_i + t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to some function v_1 in $C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1])$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v(x) = v_1(x, 0)$. By Theorem 2.3 (2.31) holds. Suppose there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{t''_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{t'_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t''_i) dx \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{2.36}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $t''_i \geq t''_{i-1} + 2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $t_0 \geq 2$. Since $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [1, \infty))$, there exists a constant $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t) dx - \int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t') dx \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall t, t' \geq t_0, |t - t'| \leq \delta. \tag{2.37}$$

By (2.36) and (2.37),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t) dx &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall |t - t_i''| \leq \delta, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \\ \Rightarrow \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t) dx dt &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_i''-\delta}^{t_i''+\delta} \int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t) dx dt = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta \varepsilon = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (2.31). Hence (2.34) holds. By (2.31) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [20] $v_1(x, t) = v(x)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Integrating (P_{λ}) over $(t_i', t_i' + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t_i' + 1) - u(x, t_i') &= \int_{t_i'}^{t_i'+1} \Delta u + \int_{t_i'}^{t_i'+1} \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-u(y,t)})^2} dt \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \Delta v + \frac{\lambda}{(1-v)^2(1+\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-v(y)})^2} \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $t = t_i'$ in (2.31) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ (2.35) follows. If $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω , then (2.32) holds. Putting $t = t_i'$ in (2.32) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ (2.35) holds with $t_0 = 0$ and the corollary follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\lambda > \lambda_N$ and $\chi > 0$. Let u be a global solution of (P_{λ}) . Then either $T_{\lambda} < \infty$ or u quenches at time infinity.*

3 Global existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (P_{λ})

In this section we will prove the global existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (P_{λ}) under various boundedness conditions on λ .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n = 1$, $b > 0$, $\Omega = (-b, b)$, $\chi > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{\chi(1+\chi|\Omega|)}{2|\Omega|}$. Then there exists a unique global solution $u \geq 0$ for (P_{λ}) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ with $u_0 = 0$ and there exists a solution v of (S_{λ}^N) which satisfies*

$$\int_{-b}^b v_x^2 dx \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\chi(1+\chi|\Omega|)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence $\lambda_N^* \geq \chi(1+\chi|\Omega|)/2|\Omega|$.

Proof: By Theorem 2.1 we only need to prove existence of global solution of (P_{λ}) . By Theorem 2.2 there exists $T' > 0$ such that (P_{λ}) has a solution $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, T')$

with $u_0 = 0$. Let $T > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of a solution $u \geq 0$ of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with $u_0 = 0$. Suppose $T < \infty$. By Theorem 2.3,

$$\int_{-b}^b u_x^2(x, t) dx \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)} \quad \forall 0 \leq t < T.$$

Hence $\forall |x| \leq b, 0 \leq t < T$,

$$u(x, t) = \int_{-b}^x u_x(y, t) dy \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-b}^b u_x^2(y, t) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda|\Omega|}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)}} < 1$$

Let $a_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda|\Omega|}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)}}$, $T_1 = (1 - a_1)^3/16\lambda$, and $T_2 = T - \min(T_1/2, T/2)$. By the proof of Theorem 2.2 there exists a solution u_1 of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T_1)$ with $u_0 = u(x, T_2)$. We then extend u to a function on $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T_2 + T_1)$ by setting $u(x, t) = u_1(x, t - T_2)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $T_2 \leq t \leq T_2 + T_1$. Then u is a solution of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T_2 + T_1)$ with $u_0 = 0$. Since $T_1 + T_2 > T$, this contradicts the maximality of T . Hence $T = \infty$ and u is a global solution of (P_λ) with $u_0 = 0$. By Corollary 2.4 there exists a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $u(x, t_i)$ converges uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ to a solution v of (S_λ^N) which satisfies (2.35) with $t_0 = 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and the theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n = 1$, $b > 0$ and $\Omega = (-b, b)$. For any $\chi > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)}{2|\Omega|}$, let $v_{\lambda, \chi}$ be the solution of (S_λ^N) constructed in Theorem 3.1. Then $v_{\lambda, \chi}$ converges uniformly to 0 on $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 $v_{\lambda, \chi}$ satisfies (3.1). Hence

$$|v_{\lambda, \chi}(x)| \leq \left| \int_{-b}^x (v_{\lambda, \chi})_x dy \right| \leq \sqrt{2b} \left(\int_{-b}^b (v_{\lambda, \chi})_x^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{b\lambda}{\chi(1 + \chi|\Omega|)}}.$$

Since the right hand side tends to 0 uniformly on $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the corollary follows. $\square$

We next recall a result of [20].

Lemma 3.3. *(cf. Theorem 2.1 of [20]) Let $u_{0,1}, u_{0,2} \in L^1(\Omega)$ be such that $0 \leq u_{0,1} \leq u_{0,2} \leq a$ in Ω for some constant $0 < a < 1$. Let $0 \leq f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)) \cap L^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T))$. Suppose u_1, u_2 , are nonnegative subsolution and supersolution of*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{f(x, t)}{(1 - u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with initial value $u_0 = u_{0,1}, u_{0,2}$, respectively which satisfy (2.1) for some constant $0 < b_2 < 1$. Then $u_1 \leq u_2$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$, $\chi > 0$ and $\mu = \lambda/(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$. Let $\mu_0 \in [\mu, \lambda^*)$ and let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ be such that $0 \leq u_0 \leq w_{\mu_0}$ in Ω , there exists a unique global solution u of (P_λ) such that $0 \leq u \leq w_{\mu_0}$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. If $1 \leq n \leq 7$, the same result remains valid for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda^*$.*

Proof: As before by Theorem 2.1 we only need to prove existence of global solution of (P_λ) . Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$. Note that since the inequality

$$u(x, t) \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for function u vanishing at $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ is only valid when Ω is a bounded interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $n = 1$, the argument of the proof of Theorem 3.1 cannot be used here. We will use another method to prove this theorem.

By Theorem 2.2 there exists $T' > 0$ such that (P_λ) has a non-negative solution u in $\Omega \times (0, T')$. Let $T > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of the solution u . Then u satisfies

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \leq \Delta u + \frac{\mu_0}{(1 - u)^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T).$$

Hence by Lemma 3.3 $u \leq w_{\mu_0} \leq \|w_{\mu_0}\|_\infty < 1$ on $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)$. Suppose $T < \infty$. Then by the parabolic Schauder estimates [21] $u \in C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (T/2, T))$. Hence u can be extended to a function on $\overline{\Omega} \times (T/2, T]$ and $u \in C^{2,1}(\overline{\Omega} \times (T/2, T])$. By Theorem 2.2 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that there exists a solution $\bar{u}$ of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, \delta)$ with initial value $\bar{u}(x, 0) = u(x, T)$. Let $u(x, t) = \bar{u}(x, t - T)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $T \leq t \leq T + \delta$. Then u is a solution of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T + \delta)$. This contradicts the maximality of T . Hence $T = \infty$ and u is a global solution of (P_λ) .

If $1 \leq n \leq 7$, by Theorem 1.1 $w_* < 1$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Then the same argument as before also works for the case $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda^*$ and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded domain. Let $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$, $\chi > 0$ and $\mu = \lambda/(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$. Let $\mu_0 \in [\mu, \lambda^*)$ and let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ be such that $0 \leq u_0 \leq w_{\mu_0}$ in Ω . Suppose u is the unique global solution of (P_λ) given by Theorem 3.4 which satisfies $0 \leq u \leq w_{\mu_0}$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a constant $0 < \mu_1 \leq \mu_0$ given uniquely by (1.2) such that $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If $1 \leq n \leq 7$, then the same conclusion remains valid for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda^*$.*

Proof: Let $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence such that $t_i \geq t_0$ for any $i \geq 1$ and $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By Corollary 2.4 the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence $\{t'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $u(\cdot, t'_i)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to a solution v of (S_λ^N) as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1-v(y)})^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Then $\lambda_0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0$. Let $\mu_1 = \min\{\mu' \geq \lambda_0 : w_{\mu'}(x) \geq v(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega\}$. Then $\lambda_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_0$ and $w_{\mu_1}(x) \geq v(x)$ in Ω . Let $q(x) = w_{\mu_1}(x) - v(x)$. Then $q(x) \geq 0$ in Ω and $q(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Suppose $q(x) \neq 0$ in Ω . Then $\mu_1 > \lambda_0$. Since

$$-\Delta q = \frac{\mu_1}{(1 - w_{\mu_1})^2} - \frac{\lambda_0}{(1 - v)^2} > \frac{\lambda_0}{(1 - w_{\mu_1})^2} - \frac{\lambda_0}{(1 - v)^2} = \frac{2\lambda_0 q}{(1 - \xi)^3} \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for some function $\xi(x)$ between $w_{\mu_1}(x)$ and $v(x)$, by the strong maximum principle

$$\begin{aligned} q(x) &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial \nu} < 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \Rightarrow \quad w_{\mu_1}(x) &> v(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial w_{\mu_1}}{\partial \nu} < \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\partial/\partial \nu$ is the derivative with respect to the unit exterior normal ν on $\partial\Omega$. Let

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{3} \min \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial w_{\mu_1}}{\partial \nu} \right).$$

Then $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth bounded domain, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for each $x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}$ there exists a unique minimizing normalized geodesic $\gamma = \gamma_x : [0, \rho_1] \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(\rho_1) \in \partial\Omega$, $\gamma([0, \rho_1)) \subset \Omega$, $\gamma'(\rho_1)$ is perpendicular to $\partial\Omega$ at $\gamma(\rho_1)$ where $\rho_1 = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ (cf. [23]). We may also assume that δ_1 is small such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(v - w_{\mu_1}) \geq \varepsilon_1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\partial/\partial \gamma$ is the partial derivative along the geodesic γ . By Theorem 1.1 and (3.3) there exists $\mu_2 \in (\lambda_0, \mu_1)$ such that

$$w_{\mu_2}(x) > v(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(w_{\mu_2} - w_{\mu_1})(x) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}. \quad (3.6)$$

By (3.4) and (3.6), $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}$,

$$w_{\mu_2}(x) - v(x) = \int_{\rho_1}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(w_{\mu_2} - v) ds = \int_0^{\rho_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(v - w_{\mu_1}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(w_{\mu_1} - w_{\mu_2}) \right) ds > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.5) and (3.7),

$$w_{\mu_2}(x) > v(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

This contradicts the choice of μ_1 . Hence $q(x) \equiv 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$. Thus

$$\lambda_0 = \mu_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = w_{\mu_1}(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.8)$$

By (3.2) and (3.8) μ_1 satisfies (1.2). Since μ_1 is uniquely determined by (1.2) independent of the subsequence $\{t'_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{u(\cdot, t_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is arbitrary, $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

If $1 \leq n \leq 7$, then by Theorem 1.1 and a similar argument as before the same conclusion holds for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda^*$ and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Let $1 \leq n \leq 7$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth convex bounded domain. Let $\chi > 0$, $0 < a_1 \leq (1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$ and*

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{a_1(1 - \|w_*\|_\infty)^2}{(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2}.$$

Then for any $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$, $a_1\lambda^ \leq \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-\varepsilon_1 w_*})^2$, and $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $2\varepsilon_1 w_* \leq u_0 \leq w_*$ in Ω , there exists a unique global solution of (P_λ) satisfying*

$$\varepsilon_1 w_* \leq u \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty). \quad (3.9)$$

Moreover $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $\mu_1 > 0$ is uniquely given by (1.2).

Proof: Note that uniqueness of solution of (P_λ) follows by Theorem 2.1. We next prove the existence of global solution of (P_λ) . We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $u_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$.

By Theorem 2.2 there exists $T' > 0$ such that (P_λ) has a non-negative solution u in $\Omega \times (0, T')$. By the parabolic Schauder estimates [21] $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T'])$ for some constant $0 < \beta < 1$. Since $\Delta w_* < 0$ in Ω , $w_* > 0$ in Ω and $w_* = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, by the Hopf Lemma,

$$\frac{\partial w_*}{\partial \nu} < 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (3.10)$$

where ν the unit outward normal on $\partial\Omega$. Since $u_0 - 2\varepsilon_1 w_* \geq 0$ in Ω and $u_0 - 2\varepsilon_1 w_* = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, by (3.10),

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} \leq 2\varepsilon_1 \frac{\partial w_*}{\partial \nu} < \varepsilon_1 \frac{\partial w_*}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.11)$$

Let

$$\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{3} \min_{\partial\Omega} \left(\varepsilon_1 \frac{\partial w_*}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} \right).$$

By (3.11), $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. Then similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for each $x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}$ there exists a unique minimizing normalized geodesic $\gamma = \gamma_x : [0, \rho_1] \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(\rho_1) \in \partial\Omega$, $\gamma([0, \rho_1)) \subset \Omega$, $\gamma'(\rho_1)$ is perpendicular to $\partial\Omega$ at $\gamma(\rho_1)$ where $\rho_1 = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. We may also assume that δ_1 is small such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}(\varepsilon_1 w_* - u_0) \geq \varepsilon_2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\partial/\partial\gamma$ is the partial derivative along the geodesic γ . Let

$$\varepsilon_3 = \min_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}} (u_0 - \varepsilon_1 w_*). \quad (3.13)$$

Then $\varepsilon_3 > 0$. Since $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T'])$, there exists $0 < T_1 < T'$ such that

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T_1 \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} (u(x, t) - u_0(x)) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}, 0 \leq t \leq T_1. \quad (3.15)$$

By (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15),

$$u \geq \varepsilon_1 w_* \quad \text{in } (\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\delta_1}) \times [0, T_1] \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} (\varepsilon_1 w_*(x) - u(x, t)) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}, 0 \leq t \leq T_1. \quad (3.17)$$

Let $x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}$ and $0 \leq t \leq T_1$. Then by (3.17),

$$\varepsilon_1 w_*(x) - u(x, t) = \int_{\rho_1}^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial\gamma} (\varepsilon_1 w_*(\gamma(s)) - u(\gamma(s), t)) ds \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_1}, 0 \leq t \leq T_1. \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.16) and (3.18),

$$u(x, t) \geq \varepsilon_1 w_*(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, 0 \leq t \leq T_1. \quad (3.19)$$

Let $T = \sup\{T_2 > 0 : u \geq \varepsilon_1 w_* \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, T_2]\}$. Then $T \geq T_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &\leq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-\varepsilon_1 w_*})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ &\leq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda^*}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

By (3.20) and Lemma 3.3,

$$u \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (3.21)$$

By the Schauder estimates we can extend u to a function in $C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$. By (3.21) and (P_λ) ,

$$u_t \geq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dy}{1-w_*})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (3.22)$$

Let $\psi = \varepsilon_1 w_*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\psi + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\psi)^2(1+\chi\int_{\Omega}\frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} &= -\frac{\varepsilon_1\lambda^*}{(1-w_*)^2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\psi)^2(1+\chi\int_{\Omega}\frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} \\ &\geq \lambda^* \left(\frac{a_1}{(1+\chi\int_{\Omega}\frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{(1-w_*)^2} \right) \\ &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

By (3.19), (3.22) and (3.23),

$$\begin{cases} (u-\psi)_t \geq \Delta(u-\psi) + \lambda F(u, \psi)(u-\psi) \geq \Delta(u-\psi) + \lambda a_0(u-\psi) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(x, 0) - \psi(x) > \varepsilon_1 w_* > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$F(u, \psi) = \frac{2-u-\psi}{(1-u)^2(1-\psi)^2(1+\chi\int_{\Omega}\frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2}$$

and

$$a_0 = \min_{\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T]} F(u, \psi) > 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} (e^{-\lambda a_0 t}(u-\psi))_t \geq \Delta(e^{-\lambda a_0 t}(u-\psi)) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T] \\ u(x, 0) - \psi(x) > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

By (3.25) and the strong maximum principle,

$$u - \psi > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T] \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x, T) > \varepsilon_1 w_*(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(u(x, T) - \varepsilon_1 w_*(x)) < 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (3.27)$$

By (3.26) and (3.27) and an argument similar to the one before there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$u(x, T) \geq (\varepsilon_1 + \delta)w_*(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.28)$$

By repeating the above argument there exists a constant $T_2 > 0$ such that there exists a solution $\tilde{u}$ of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T_2)$ with initial value $u(x, T)$ such that $\tilde{u} \geq \varepsilon_1 w_*$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_2)$. Let $u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x, t - T)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, $T \leq t \leq T + T_2$. Then u is a solution of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T + T_2)$ such that $u \geq \varepsilon_1 w_*$ in $\Omega \times (0, T_2)$. This contradicts the maximality of T . Hence $T = \infty$.

Case 2: $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$

We choose a sequence of function $u_{0,k} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$2\varepsilon_1 w_* \leq u_{0,k} \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

such that $u_{0,k} \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For each $k \geq 1$ by case 1 there exists a unique global solution u_k of (P_λ) with initial value $u_{0,k}$ satisfying

$$\varepsilon_1 w_* \leq u_k \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

By the parabolic Schauder estimates [21] the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are uniformly bounded in $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times (\delta, \infty))$ for some constant $0 < \beta < 1$ and any $\delta > 0$. By the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ has a subsequence which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself that converges uniformly in $C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times (\delta, 1/\delta))$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$ to some function u as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{1-u(y,t)})^2} & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and (3.9). Since each u_k satisfies (2.30) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get that u satisfies (2.14) in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in (2.14), by (3.9) $u(\cdot, t) \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence u is the global solution of (P_λ) .

By (3.9) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $\mu_1 > 0$ is uniquely given by (1.2) and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $1 \leq n \leq 7$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth convex bounded domain. Let $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $\chi > 0$ and $0 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda^*$ satisfy*

$$\lambda_2 \frac{(1-2\delta)}{(1-\|w_*\|_\infty)^2} \left(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*}\right)^2 \leq \lambda \leq \lambda^* \left(1 + \chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-(1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2}}\right)^2.$$

Let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfy $(1-\delta)w_{\lambda_2} \leq u_0 \leq w_$ in Ω . Then there exists a unique global solution of (P_λ) satisfying*

$$(1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2} \leq u \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty). \quad (3.29)$$

Moreover $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $\mu_1 > 0$ is uniquely given by (1.2).

Proof: By an approximation argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 it suffices to prove the existence of global solution of (P_λ) for the case $u_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$. By Theorem 2.2 and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 there exists a maximal time $T > 0$ such that (P_λ) has a solution u in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ which satisfies

$$u \geq (1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (3.30)$$

By (P_λ) and (3.30),

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &\leq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{(1-(1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2})})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T) \\ &\leq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda^*}{(1-u)^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

By (3.31) and Lemma 3.3,

$$u \leq w_* \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (3.32)$$

By (3.30), (3.32) and the parabolic Schauder estimates [21], $u \in C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$ for some constant $0 < \beta < 1$. Hence we can extend u to a function in $C^{2+\beta, 1+(\beta/2)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T])$. Then by (P_λ) and (3.32),

$$u_t \geq \Delta u + \frac{\lambda}{(1-u)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dy}{(1-w_*)})^2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (3.33)$$

Let $\psi = (1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \psi + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\psi)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} &= -\lambda_2 \frac{(1-2\delta)}{(1-w_{\lambda_2})^2} + \frac{\lambda}{(1-\psi)^2(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1-w_{\lambda_2})^2} \left(\frac{\lambda(1-w_{\lambda_2})^2}{(1+\chi \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2} - \lambda_2(1-2\delta) \right) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

By (3.33), (3.34) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6,

$$u - \psi > 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T] \quad \Rightarrow \quad u(x, T) > (1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(u(x, T) - (1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2}(x)) < 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.36)$$

By (3.35) and (3.36) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6, there exists a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$u(x, T) \geq (1-2\delta + \delta_1)w_{\lambda_2}(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega. \quad (3.37)$$

Then similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 by (3.37) u can be extended to a solution of (P_λ) in $\Omega \times (0, T + T_1)$ for some $T_1 > 0$ such that

$$u \geq (1-2\delta)w_{\lambda_2} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T + T_1).$$

This contradicts the maximality of T . Hence $T = \infty$. By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 $u(\cdot, t)$ converges uniformly in $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ to w_{μ_1} as $t \rightarrow \infty$ where $\mu_1 > 0$ is uniquely given by (1.2) and the theorem follows. $\square$

By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7 we have the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $1 \leq n \leq 7$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth convex bounded domain. Let $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $\chi > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2$,*

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \chi|\Omega|)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu' = \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-w_*})^2}.$$

Let $u_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ satisfy $w_{(1-\delta)\mu'} \leq u_0 \leq w_{\mu}$ in Ω . Then there exists a unique global solution of (P_{λ}) satisfying

$$w_{(1-2\delta)\mu'} \leq u \leq w_{\mu} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

4 Quenching behaviour

In this section we will prove the quenching behaviour of the solution of (P_{λ}) when λ is large. We first start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω and let χ satisfy*

$$0 < \chi < \frac{1}{|\Omega|}. \quad (4.1)$$

Then there exist constants $\lambda_1 > 0$ and C_{λ_1} such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and any global solution u of (P_{λ}) there exists a sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t^2(x, t_i) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1 - u(x, t_i))^2} \leq C_{\lambda_1} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof: Let $0 < \delta < 1$. By (4.1) we can choose constants $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$a_0 = \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} \left(\frac{\delta \chi^2 \sqrt{|\Omega|}}{\lambda_1} + \frac{2\chi|\Omega|}{1 + \chi|\Omega|} \right) (1 + K^{-1}) < 1. \quad (4.4)$$

Let $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and let u be a global solution of (P_{λ}) . By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, $u \geq 0$ on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. By (2.23) of Theorem 2.3 there exists a sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, such that (4.2) holds. Let $u_i = u(x, t_i)$. By (4.2) we can assume without loss of generality that

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^2 dx \leq \frac{\delta^2}{|\Omega|} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (4.5)$$

Multiplying (P_{λ}) by u , integrating over Ω and putting $t = t_i$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i u_{i,t} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx = \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_i}{(1-u_i)^2} dx}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i})^2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_i}{(1-u_i)^2} dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i} \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} - |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\geq (1-\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} - \frac{|\Omega|}{4\varepsilon}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i} \right)^2 &= 1 + 2\chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i} + \chi^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i} \right)^2 \\
&\leq 1 + 2\chi |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + (1+\varepsilon)\chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

If there exists a subsequence of u_i which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that

$$(1+\varepsilon)\chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \leq (1+\varepsilon^{-1})K \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

then (4.3) follows and we are done. Suppose no such subsequence exists. Then there exists a subsequence of u_i which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence itself such that

$$(1+\varepsilon)\chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} > (1+\varepsilon^{-1})K \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \tag{4.9}$$

By (4.1), (4.2), (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and Theorem 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda \left\{ (1-\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} - \frac{|\Omega|}{4\varepsilon} \right\} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i u_{i,t} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \varepsilon^{-1} + (1+\varepsilon)\chi^2 |\Omega| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \right) \\
&\leq (1+K^{-1})(1+\varepsilon)\chi^2 |\Omega| \left(\left(\int_{\Omega} u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2\lambda}{\chi(1+\chi|\Omega|)} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \\
&\leq (1+K^{-1})(1+\varepsilon)\lambda \left(\frac{\delta\chi^2 \sqrt{|\Omega|}}{\lambda_1} + \frac{2\chi|\Omega|}{1+\chi|\Omega|} \right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Hence by (4.4) and (4.10),

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_i)^2} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{4\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)(1-a_0)} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $u_0 \equiv 0$ on Ω and let χ satisfy (4.1). Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be given by Lemma 4.1. Then there exists a constant $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$ such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_2$ and any solution u of (P_λ) there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T_1} \sup_{x \in \Omega} u(x, t) = 1. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof: Let λ_1 and C_{λ_1} be as in Lemma 4.1. Let $\lambda > \lambda_2$ for some constant $\lambda_2 \geq \lambda_1$ to be determined later. Suppose u is a global solution of (P_λ) . By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, $u \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. By Lemma 4.1 there exists a sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, such that (4.2) and (4.3) holds. Let $u_i = u(x, t_i)$. Since $0 \leq u_i < 1$, by (4.3) and Theorem 2.3 there exist $u_\infty \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $0 \leq u_\infty \leq 1$ in Ω , $0 \leq g \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} g^2 dx \leq C_{\lambda_1}$$

and a subsequence of $\{u_i\}$ which we may assume without loss of generality to be the sequence $\{u_i\}$ itself such that

$$\begin{cases} u_i \rightarrow u_\infty & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega) & \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \\ u_i \rightarrow u_\infty & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega) & \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \\ (1-u_i)^{-1} \rightarrow g & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega) & \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.3), by the Fatou Lemma,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{(1-u_\infty)^2} \leq C_{\lambda_1}. \quad (4.13)$$

Hence $u_\infty(x) < 1$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $0 \leq \eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Multiplying (P_λ) by η , integrating over Ω and putting $t = t_i$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{i,t} \eta dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla \eta dx + \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{(1-u_i)^2} dx}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u_i})^2} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (4.14)$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.14), by (4.2) and (4.12),

$$- \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_\infty \cdot \nabla \eta dx + \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{(1-u_\infty)^2} dx}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} g dx)^2} \leq C \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{i,t}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Putting $\varepsilon = 1$ in (4.8) and letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, by (4.3) and (4.12) we have

$$(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} g \, dx)^2 \leq 2 + 2\chi^2 |\Omega| C_{\lambda_1} = K_1 \quad (\text{say}). \quad (4.16)$$

Let $\lambda_2 = \max(\lambda_1, \lambda^* K_1)$. Then by (4.15) and (4.16),

$$- \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{\infty} \cdot \nabla \eta \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{K_1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\eta}{(1 - u_{\infty})^2} \, dx \leq 0.$$

Hence u_{∞} is a weak supersolution of (S_{λ/K_1}) and $\lambda/K_1 > \lambda^*$. Let $\lambda^* < \lambda_3 < \lambda/K_1$. By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 5.3 of [2] there exists a classical solution of (S_{λ_3}) . This contradicts the maximality of λ^* . Hence there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that (4.11) holds and the theorem follows. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\Omega = B_R$ and $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(B_R)$ be a radially symmetric monotone decreasing function which satisfies (0.1) for some constant $0 < a < 1$. Let $\chi > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ and such that for any $\lambda > \lambda_0 = C_3 \mu_1$ and any solution u of (P_{λ}) , u quenches in a finite time*

$$T_{\lambda} \leq \frac{C_3}{\lambda - \lambda_0}. \quad (4.17)$$

Proof: Let u be a global solution of (P_{λ}) and let

$$E(t) = \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi_1(x) \, dx.$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 $u \geq 0$ and u is radially symmetric in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$. Hence $u(r, t) = u(|x|, t)$ where $r = |x|$. Since $u_0(r)$ is a monotone decreasing function of $0 < r < R$, by the strong maximum principle and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.5 of [24] $u_r(r, t) < 0$ for all $0 < r < R$ and $t > 0$. Then by an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 1.3 there exist constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that (1.4) and (1.6) hold with v being replaced by $u(x, t)$.

Multiplying (P_{λ}) by ϕ_1 and integrating over Ω , by the Green theorem, (1.4), and (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Omega} u \phi_1 \, dx \right) = \int_{\Omega} \phi_1 \Delta u \, dx + \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-u)^2} \, dx}{(1 + \chi \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1-u})^2} \\ &\geq -\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} u \phi_1 \, dx + \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-u)^2} \, dx}{2 + C_2 \chi^2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\phi_1}{(1-u)^2} \, dx} \\ &\geq -\mu_1 E(t) + \lambda \frac{\int_{\Omega} \phi_1 \, dx}{2 + C_2 \chi^2 \int_{\Omega} \phi_1 \, dx} \\ &\geq -\mu_1 + \frac{\lambda}{C_3} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{C_3} \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $C_3 = 2 + C_2\chi^2$ and $\lambda_0 = C_3\mu_1$. By (4.18),

$$\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)}{C_3}t \leq E(t) \leq 1.$$

Hence u quenches in a finite time T_λ which satisfies (4.17) and the theorem follows. $\square$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee for the detail comments and suggestions on the paper which leads to great improvement for the paper.

References

- [1] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *Compactness along the branch of semi-stable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **60** (2007), no. 12, 1731–1768.
- [2] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices: stationary case*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **38**, (2007), no. 5, 1423–1449.
- [3] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices. II. Dynamic case*, NoDEA Differential Equations Appl. **15**, (2008), no. 1-2, 115–145.
- [4] Y. Guo, Z. Pan and M.J. Ward, *Touchdown and Pull-in Voltage Behavior of a MEMS Device with Varying Dielectric Properties*, SIAM, J. Appl. Math. **66**, (2005), no. 1, 309–338.
- [5] N.I. Kavallaris, T. Miyasita and T. Suzuki, *Touchdown and related problems in electrostatic MEMS device equation*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **15** (2008), no. 3, 363–385.
- [6] F. Lin and Y. Yang, *Nonlinear non-local elliptic equation modelling electrostatic actuation*, Proc. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A **463**, (2007), 1323–1337.
- [7] L. Ma and J.C. Wei, *Properties of positive solutions to an elliptic equation with negative exponent*, J. Functional Analysis **254**, (2008), 1058–1087.
- [8] G. Flores, G.A. Mercado and J.A. Pelesko, *Dynamics and Touchdown in Electrostatic MEMS*, Proceedings of ICMENS 2003, (2003), 182–187.
- [9] J.A. Pelesko, *Mathematical Modeling of Electrostatic MEMS with Tailored Dielectric Properties*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **62**, (2002), no. 3, 888–908.

- [10] J.A. Pelesko and A.A. Triolo, *Nonlocal Problems in MEMS Device Control*, J. Eng. Math. **41**, (2001), no. 4, 345–366.
- [11] J.A. Pelesko and D.H. Bernstein, *Modeling MEMS and NEMS*, Chapman Hall and CRC Press, (2002).
- [12] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, *Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS*, xiii+318pp, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 20 (2010), CIMS/AMS.
- [13] Z. Guo and J. Wei, On a fourth order nonlinear elliptic equation with negative exponent. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **40** (2008/09), no. 5, 2034–2054.
- [14] G.F. Zheng, *On the finite-time blow-up for a nonlocal parabolic problem arising from bands in metals*, Proc. A.M.S. **135**, (2007), no. 5, 1487–1494.
- [15] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68**, (1979), 209–243.
- [16] Z. Guo and J.R.L. Webb, *Large and small solutions of a class of quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems*, J. Diff. Eqns. **180**, (2002), 1–50.
- [17] W.C. Troy, *Symmetry properties in systems of semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Eqns. **42**, (1981), 400–413.
- [18] W.M. Ni, *Some aspects of semilinear elliptic equations*, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan, R.O.C. (1987).
- [19] B.E.J. Dahlberg and C. Kenig, *Non-negative solutions of generalized porous medium equations*, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana **2**, (1986), 267–305.
- [20] K.M. Hui, *Global and touchdown behaviour of the generalized MEMS device equation*, Advances in Math. Sciences and Appl. **19**, (2009), no. 1, 347–370.
- [21] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Mono. Vol 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A. (1968).
- [22] A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., U.S.A. (1964).
- [23] F.W. Warner, *Extension of the Rauch comparison theorem to submanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122**, (1966), 341–356.
- [24] S.Y. Hsu, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the equation $u_t = \Delta \log u$ near the extinction time*, Advances in Diff. Eqns. **8**, (2003), no. 2, 161–187.